

GLANON GROUPOIDS

MADELEINE JOTZ, MATHIEU STIÉNON, AND PING XU

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of Glanon groupoids, which are Lie groupoids equipped with multiplicative generalized complex structures. It combines symplectic groupoids, holomorphic Lie groupoids and holomorphic Poisson groupoids into a unified framework. Their infinitesimal, Glanon Lie algebroids are studied. We prove that there is a bijection between Glanon Lie algebroids and source-simply connected and source-connected Glanon groupoids. As a consequence, we recover various integration theorems and obtain the integration theorem for holomorphic Poisson groupoids.

1. INTRODUCTION

In their study of quantization theory, Karasev [20], Weinstein [34], and Zakrzewski [40, 41] independently introduced the notion of symplectic groupoids. By a symplectic groupoid, we mean a Lie groupoid equipped with a multiplicative symplectic two-form on the space of morphisms. It is a classical theorem that the unit space of a symplectic groupoid is naturally a Poisson manifold [8]. Indeed the Lie algebroid of a symplectic groupoid $\Gamma \rightrightarrows P$ is naturally isomorphic to $(T^*P)_\pi$, the canonical Lie algebroid associated to the Poisson manifold (P, π) . In [29], Mackenzie and one of the authors proved that, for a given Poisson manifold (P, π) , if the Lie algebroid $(T^*P)_\pi$ integrates to a $\mathfrak{s}$ -connected and $\mathfrak{s}$ -simply connected Lie groupoid $\Gamma \rightrightarrows P$, then Γ is naturally a symplectic groupoid. The symplectic groupoid structure on Γ was also obtained by Cattaneo-Felder [7] using the Poisson sigma model. The general integration condition for symplectic groupoids was completely solved recently by Crainic-Fernandes [11].

Recently, there has been increasing interest in holomorphic Lie algebroids and holomorphic Lie groupoids. It is a very natural question to find the integrability condition for holomorphic Lie algebroids. In [24], together with Laurent-Gengoux, two of the authors studied holomorphic Lie algebroids and their relation with real Lie algebroids. In particular, they proved that associated to any holomorphic Lie algebroid A , there is a canonical real Lie algebroid A_R such that the inclusion $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\infty$ is a morphism of sheaves. Here $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ denote, respectively, the sheaf of holomorphic sections and the sheaf of smooth sections of A . In other words, a holomorphic Lie algebroid can be considered as a holomorphic vector bundle $A \rightarrow X$ whose underlying real vector bundle is endowed with a Lie algebroid structure such that, for any open subset $U \subset X$, $[\mathcal{A}(U), \mathcal{A}(U)] \subset \mathcal{A}(U)$ and the restriction of the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ to $\mathcal{A}(U)$ is $\mathbb{C}$ -linear. In particular, they proved that a holomorphic Lie algebroid A is integrable as a holomorphic Lie algebroid if and only if its underlying real Lie algebroid A_R is integrable as a real Lie algebroid [25].

In this paper, we introduce the notion of Glanon groupoids, as a unification of symplectic groupoids and holomorphic groupoids. By a Glanon groupoid, we mean a Lie groupoid equipped with a generalized complex structure in the sense of Hitchin [16] which is multiplicative. Recall that a generalized complex structure on a manifold M is a smooth bundle

Partially supported by a Dorothea-Schlözer fellowship of the University of Göttingen, Swiss NSF grant 200021-121512, NSF grants DMS-0801129 and DMS-1101827, NSA grant H98230-12-1-0234.

map $\mathcal{J} : TM \oplus T^*M \rightarrow TM \oplus T^*M$ such that $\mathcal{J}^2 = -\text{Id}$, $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}^* = \text{Id}$, and the $+i$ -eigenbundle of $\mathcal{J}$ is involutive with respect to the Courant bracket, or the Nijenhuis tensor of $\mathcal{J}$ vanishes. A generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ on a Lie groupoid $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ is said to be multiplicative if $\mathcal{J}$ is a Lie groupoid automorphism with respect to the Courant groupoid $T\Gamma \oplus T^*\Gamma \rightrightarrows TM \oplus A^*$ in the sense of Mehta [30]. When $\mathcal{J}$ is the generalized complex structure associated to a symplectic structure on Γ , it is clear that $\mathcal{J}$ is multiplicative if and only if the symplectic two-form is multiplicative. Thus its Glanon groupoid is equivalent to a symplectic groupoid. On the other hand, when $\mathcal{J}$ is the generalized complex structure associated to a complex structure on Γ , $\mathcal{J}$ is multiplicative if and only if the complex structure on Γ is multiplicative. Thus one recovers a holomorphic Lie groupoid. On the infinitesimal level, a Glanon groupoid corresponds to a Glanon Lie algebroid, which is a Lie algebroid A equipped with a compatible generalized complex structure, i.e. a generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}_A : TA \oplus T^*A \rightarrow TA \oplus T^*A$ which is a Lie algebroid automorphism with respect to the Lie algebroid $TA \oplus T^*A \rightarrow TM \oplus A^*$. More precisely, we prove the following main result:

Theorem A. *If Γ is a $\mathfrak{s}$ -connected and $\mathfrak{s}$ -simply connected Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid A , then there is a one-one correspondence between Glanon groupoid structures on Γ and Glanon Lie algebroid structures on A .*

As a consequence, we recover the following standard results [29, 25]:

Theorem B. *Let (P, π) be a Poisson manifold. If Γ is a $\mathfrak{s}$ -connected and $\mathfrak{s}$ -simply connected Lie groupoid integrating the Lie algebroid $(T^*P)_\pi$, then Γ automatically admits a symplectic groupoid structure.*

Theorem C. *If Γ is a $\mathfrak{s}$ -connected and $\mathfrak{s}$ -simply connected Lie groupoid integrating the underlying real Lie algebroid A_R of a holomorphic Lie algebroid A , then Γ is a holomorphic Lie groupoid.*

Another important class of Glanon groupoids is when the generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ on Γ has the form

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} N & \pi^\sharp \\ 0 & -N^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is simple to see that in this case $(\Gamma \rightrightarrows M, \mathcal{J})$ being a Glanon groupoid is equivalent to Γ being a holomorphic Poisson groupoid. On the other hand, on the infinitesimal level, one proves that their corresponding Glanon Lie algebroids are equivalent to holomorphic Lie bialgebroids. Thus as a consequence, we prove the following

Theorem D. *Given a holomorphic Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*) , if the underlying real Lie algebroid A_R integrates to a $\mathfrak{s}$ -connected and $\mathfrak{s}$ -simply connected Lie groupoid Γ , then Γ is a holomorphic Poisson groupoid.*

A special case of this theorem was proved in [25] using a different method, namely, when the holomorphic Lie bialgebroid is $((T^*X)_\pi, TX)$, the one corresponding to a holomorphic Poisson manifold (X, π) . In this case, it was proved that when the underlying real Lie algebroid of $(T^*X)_\pi$ integrates to a $\mathfrak{s}$ -connected and $\mathfrak{s}$ -simply connected Lie groupoid Γ , then Γ is automatically a holomorphic symplectic groupoid. However, according to our knowledge, the integrating problem for general holomorphic Lie bialgebroids remain open. Indeed, solving this integration problem is one of the main motivation behind our study of Glanon groupoids.

It is known that the base manifold of a generalized complex manifold is automatically a Poisson manifold [2, 15]. Thus it follows that a Glanon groupoid is automatically a (real) Poisson groupoid. On the other hand, a Glanon Lie algebroid A admits a Poisson structure, which can be shown a linear Poisson structure. Therefore A^* is a Lie algebroid. We prove that (A, A^*) is indeed a Lie bialgebroid, which is the infinitesimal of the associated Poisson groupoid of the Glanon groupoid.

Note that in this paper we confine ourselves to the standard Courant groupoid $T\Gamma \oplus T^*\Gamma$. We can also consider the twisted Courant groupoid $(T\Gamma \oplus T^*\Gamma)_H$, where H is a multiplicative closed 3-form. This will be discussed somewhere else. Also note that a multiplicative generalized complex structure on the groupoid Γ induces a pair of maps $j_u : TM \oplus A^* \rightarrow TM \oplus A^*$ and $j_A : A \oplus T^*M \rightarrow A \oplus T^*M$ on the units and on the core, respectively. Since a multiplicative generalized complex structure is the same as two complex conjugated multiplicative Dirac structures on the groupoid, we get following the results in [19], [12] that a multiplicative generalized complex structure is the same as two complex conjugated Manin pairs over the set of units M (in the sense of [6]). The detailed study of properties of the maps j_A, j_u , the Manin pairs, and the associated Dorfman connections will be investigated in the spirit of [24] in a future project.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Camille Laurent-Gengoux, Rajan Mehta, and Cristian Ortiz for useful discussions. We also would like to thank several institutions for their hospitality while work on this project was being done: Penn State University (Jotz), and IHES and Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research (Xu). Special thanks go to the Michea family and our many friends in Glanon, whose warmth provided us constant inspiration for our work in the past. Many of our friends from Glanon have left mathematics, but our memories of them serve as a reminder that a mathematician's primary role should be to elucidate and enjoy the beauty of mathematics. We dedicate this paper to them.

1.1. Notation. In the following, $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ will always be a Lie groupoid with set of arrows Γ , set of objects M , source and target $s, t : \Gamma \rightarrow M$ and object inclusion map $\epsilon : M \rightarrow \Gamma$. The product of $g, h \in \Gamma$ with $s(g) = t(h)$ will be written $m(g, h) = g \star h$ or simply gh .

The Lie functor that sends a Lie groupoid to its Lie algebroid and Lie groupoid morphisms to Lie algebroid morphisms is $\mathbf{A}$. For simplicity, we will write $\mathbf{A}(\Gamma) = A$. The Lie algebroid $q_A : A \rightarrow M$ is identified with $T_M^s \Gamma$, the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$ is defined with the right invariant vector fields and the anchor $\rho_A = \rho$ is the restriction of Tt to A . Hence, as a manifold, A is embedded in $T\Gamma$. The inclusion is $\iota : A \rightarrow T\Gamma$. Note that the Lie algebroid of $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ can alternatively be defined using left-invariant sections. Given $a \in \Gamma(A)$, the right-invariant section corresponding to a will simply be written a^r , i.e., $a^r(g) = TR_g a(t(g))$ for all $g \in \Gamma$. We will write a^l for the left-invariant vector field defined by a , i.e., $a^l(g) = -T(L_g \circ i)(a(s(g)))$ for all $g \in \Gamma$.

The projection map of a vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$ will always be written $q_A : A \rightarrow M$, unless specified otherwise. For a smooth manifold M , we fix once and for all the notation $p_M := q_{TM} : TM \rightarrow M$ and $c_M := q_{T^*M} : T^*M \rightarrow M$. We will write P_M for the direct sum $TM \oplus T^*M$ of vector bundles over M , and pr_M for the canonical projection $P_M \rightarrow M$.

A bundle morphism $P_M \rightarrow P_M$, for a manifold M , will always be meant to be over the identity on M .

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Dirac structures. Let $A \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle with dual bundle $A^* \rightarrow M$. The natural pairing $A \oplus A^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $(a_m, \xi_m) \mapsto \xi_m(a_m)$ will be written $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$ or $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{q_A}$ if the

vector bundle structure needs to be specified. The direct sum $A \oplus A^*$ is endowed with a canonical fiberwise pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)_A$ given by

$$((a_m, \xi_m), (b_m, \eta_m))_A = \lrcorner b_m, \xi_m \lrcorner_A + \lrcorner a_m, \eta_m \lrcorner_A \quad (1)$$

for all $m \in M$, $a_m, b_m \in A_m$ and $\xi_m, \eta_m \in A_m^*$.

In particular, the *Pontryagin bundle* $\mathbf{P}_M := TM \oplus T^*M$ of a smooth manifold M is endowed with the pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)_{TM}$, which will be written as usual $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_M$.

The orthogonal space relative to the pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)_A$ of a subbundle $\mathbf{E} \subseteq A \oplus A^*$ will be written $\mathbf{E}^\perp$ in the following. An *almost Dirac structure* [9] on M is a Lagrangian vector subbundle $\mathbf{D} \subset \mathbf{P}_M$. That is, $\mathbf{D}$ coincides with its orthogonal relative to (1), $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}^\perp$, so its fibers are necessarily $\dim M$ -dimensional.

The set of sections $\Gamma(\mathbf{P}_M)$ of the Pontryagin bundle of M is endowed with the Courant bracket, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rrbracket &= [X, Y] + \left(\mathcal{L}_X \beta - \mathcal{L}_Y \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{d}(\alpha(Y) - \beta(X)) \right) \\ &= [X, Y] + \left(\mathcal{L}_X \beta - \mathbf{i}_Y \mathbf{d} \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{d} \langle (X, \alpha), (Y, \beta) \rangle_M \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

for all $X + \alpha, Y + \beta \in \Gamma(\mathbf{P}_M)$.

An almost Dirac structure $\mathbf{D}$ on a manifold M is a Dirac structure if its set of sections is closed under this bracket, i.e., $[\Gamma(\mathbf{D}), \Gamma(\mathbf{D})] \subset \Gamma(\mathbf{D})$. Since $\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle_M = 0$ if $(X, \alpha), (Y, \beta) \in \Gamma(\mathbf{D})$, integrability of the Dirac structure is expressed relative to a non-skew-symmetric bracket that differs from (2) by eliminating in the second line the third term of the second component. This truncated expression is called the *Dorfman bracket* in the literature:

$$\llbracket X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rrbracket = [X, Y] + (\mathcal{L}_X \beta - \mathbf{i}_Y \mathbf{d} \alpha) \quad (3)$$

for all $X + \alpha, Y + \beta \in \Gamma(\mathbf{D})$.

2.2. Generalized complex structures. Let V be a vector space. Consider a linear endomorphism $\mathcal{J}$ of $V \oplus V^*$ such that $\mathcal{J}^2 = -\text{Id}_V$ and $\mathcal{J}$ is orthogonal with respect to the inner product

$$(X + \xi, Y + \eta)_V = \xi(Y) + \eta(X), \quad \forall X, Y \in V, \xi, \eta \in V^*.$$

Such a linear map is called a *linear generalized complex structure* by Hitchin [16]. The complexified vector space $(V \oplus V^*) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ decomposes as the direct sum

$$(V \oplus V^*) \otimes \mathbb{C} = E_+ \oplus E_-$$

of the eigenbundles of $\mathcal{J}$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $\pm \mathbf{i}$ respectively, i.e.,

$$E_\pm = \{(X + \xi) \mp \mathbf{i} \mathcal{J}(X + \xi) \mid X + \xi \in V \oplus V^*\}.$$

Both eigenspaces are maximal isotropic with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and they are complex conjugate to each other.

The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 2.1. *The linear generalized complex structures are in 1-1 correspondence with the splittings $(V \oplus V^*) \otimes \mathbb{C} = E_+ \oplus E_-$ with $E_\pm$ maximal isotropic and $E_- = \overline{E_+}$.*

Now, let M be a manifold and $\mathcal{J}$ a bundle endomorphism of $\mathbf{P}_M = TM \oplus T^*M$ such that $\mathcal{J}^2 = -\text{Id}_{\mathbf{P}_M}$, and $\mathcal{J}$ is orthogonal with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_M$. Then $\mathcal{J}$ is a *generalized almost complex structure*. In the associated eigenbundle decomposition

$$T_{\mathbb{C}}M \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M = E_+ \oplus E_-,$$

if $\Gamma(E_+)$ is closed under the (complexified) Courant bracket, then E_+ is a (complex) Dirac structure on M and one says that $\mathcal{J}$ is a *generalized complex structure* [16, 15]. In this case, E_- must also be a Dirac structure since $E_- = \overline{E_+}$. Indeed (E_+, E_-) is a complex Lie bialgebroid in the sense of Mackenzie-Xu [28], in which E_+ and E_- are complex conjugate to each other.

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{J} : \mathbf{P}_M \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_M$ be a vector bundle morphism. Then the generalized Nijenhuis tensor associated to $\mathcal{J}$ is the map

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}} : \mathbf{P}_M \times_M \mathbf{P}_M \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_M$$

defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}}(\xi, \eta) = \llbracket \mathcal{J}\xi, \mathcal{J}\eta \rrbracket + \mathcal{J}^2 \llbracket \xi, \eta \rrbracket - \mathcal{J}(\llbracket \mathcal{J}\xi, \eta \rrbracket + \llbracket \xi, \mathcal{J}\eta \rrbracket)$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma(\mathbf{P}_M)$, where the bracket is the Courant-Dorfman bracket.

The following proposition gives two equivalent definitions of a generalized complex structure.

Proposition 2.3. A generalized complex structure is equivalent to any of the following:

- (a) A bundle endomorphism $\mathcal{J}$ of $\mathbf{P}_M$ such that $\mathcal{J}$ is orthogonal with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_M$, $\mathcal{J}^2 = -\text{Id}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}} = 0$.
- (b) A complex Lie bialgebroid (E_+, E_-) whose double is the standard Courant algebroid $T_{\mathbb{C}}M \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$, and E_+ and E_- are complex conjugate to each other.

For a two-form ω on M we denote by $\omega^\flat : TM \rightarrow T^*M$ the bundle map $X \mapsto i_X\omega$, while for a bivector π on M we denote by $\pi^\sharp : T^*M \rightarrow TM$ the contraction with π . Also, we denote by $[\cdot, \cdot]_\pi$ the bracket defined on the space of 1-forms on M by

$$[\xi, \eta]_\pi = L_{\pi^\sharp \xi} \eta - L_{\pi^\sharp \eta} \xi - d\pi(\xi, \eta) \quad (4)$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in \Omega^1(M)$.

A generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J} : \mathbf{P}_M \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_M$ can be written

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} N & \pi^\sharp \\ \omega^\flat & -N^* \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

where π is a bivector field on M , ω is a two-form on M , and $N : TM \rightarrow TM$ is a bundle map, satisfying together a list of identities (see [10]). In particular π is a Poisson bivector field.

Let $\mathcal{I} : \mathbf{P}_M \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_M$ be the endomorphism

$$\mathcal{I} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_{TM} & 0 \\ 0 & -\text{Id}_{T^*M} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{I}(\cdot), \mathcal{I}(\cdot) \rangle_M &= -\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_M, \\ \llbracket \mathcal{I}(\cdot), \mathcal{I}(\cdot) \rrbracket &= \mathcal{I} \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

and the following proposition follows.

Proposition 2.4. If $\mathcal{J}$ is an almost generalized complex structure on M , then

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}} := \mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{J} \circ \mathcal{I} \quad (6)$$

is an almost generalized complex structure. Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\mathcal{J}}} = \mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}} \circ (\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}).$$

Hence, $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ is a generalized complex structure if and only if $\mathcal{J}$ is a generalized complex structure.

The following are two standard examples [16].

Examples 2.5. (a) Let J be an almost complex structure on M . Then

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & -J^* \end{pmatrix}$$

is $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_M$ -orthogonal and satisfies $\mathcal{J}^2 = -\text{Id}$. $\mathcal{J}$ is a generalized complex structure if and only if J is integrable.

(b) Let ω be a nondegenerate 2-form on M . Then

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(\omega^\flat)^{-1} \\ \omega^\flat & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a generalized complex structure if and only if $d\omega = 0$, i.e., ω is a symplectic 2-form.

2.3. Pontryagin bundle over a Lie groupoid.

The tangent prolongation of a Lie groupoid. Let $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid. Applying the tangent functor to each of the maps defining Γ yields a Lie groupoid structure on $T\Gamma$ with base TM , source Ts , target Tt and multiplication $Tm : T(\Gamma \times_M \Gamma) \rightarrow T\Gamma$. The identity at $v_p \in T_p M$ is $1_{v_p} = T_p \epsilon v_p$. This defines the *tangent prolongation* $T\Gamma \rightrightarrows TM$ of $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ or the *tangent groupoid associated to* $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$.

The cotangent Lie groupoid defined by a Lie groupoid. If $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ is a Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid $A\Gamma \rightarrow M$, then there is also an induced Lie groupoid structure on $T^*\Gamma \rightrightarrows A^*\Gamma = (TM)^\circ$. The source map $\hat{s} : T^*\Gamma \rightarrow A^*$ is given by

$$\hat{s}(\alpha_g) \in A_{s(g)}^* \text{ for } \alpha_g \in T_g^*\Gamma, \quad \hat{s}(\alpha_g)(a(s(g))) = \alpha_g(a^l(g))$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$, and the target map $\hat{t} : T^*\Gamma \rightarrow A^*$ is given by

$$\hat{t}(\alpha_g) \in A_{t(g)}^*, \quad \hat{t}(\alpha_g)(a(t(g))) = \alpha_g(a^r(g))$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$. If $\hat{s}(\alpha_g) = \hat{t}(\alpha_h)$, then the product $\alpha_g \star \alpha_h$ is defined by

$$(\alpha_g \star \alpha_h)(v_g \star v_h) = \alpha_g(v_g) + \alpha_h(v_h)$$

for all composable pairs $(v_g, v_h) \in T_{(g,h)}(\Gamma \times_M \Gamma)$.

This Lie groupoid structure was introduced in [8] and is explained for instance in [8, 32, 27]. Note that the original definition was the following: let Λ_Γ be the graph of the partial multiplication m in Γ , i.e.,

$$\Lambda_\Gamma = \{(g, h, g \star h) \mid g, h \in \Gamma, s(g) = t(h)\}.$$

The isomorphism $\psi : (T^*\Gamma)^3 \rightarrow (T^*\Gamma)^3$, $\psi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (\alpha, \beta, -\gamma)$ sends the conormal space $(T\Lambda_G)^\circ \subseteq (T^*\Gamma)^3|_{\Lambda_\Gamma}$ to a submanifold Λ_* of $(T^*\Gamma)^3$. It is shown in [8] that Λ_* is the graph of a groupoid multiplication on $T^*\Gamma$, which is exactly the multiplication defined above.

The ‘‘Pontryagin groupoid’’ of a Lie groupoid. If $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ is a Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid $A \rightarrow M$, according to [30], there is hence an induced VB-Lie groupoid structure on $P_\Gamma = T\Gamma \oplus T^*\Gamma$ over $TM \oplus A^*$, namely, the product groupoid, where $T\Gamma \oplus T^*\Gamma$ and $TM \oplus A^*$ are identified with the fiber products $T\Gamma \times_\Gamma T^*\Gamma$ and $TM \times_M A^*$, respectively. It is called a Courant groupoid by Mehta [30].

Proposition 2.6. Let $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid $A \rightarrow M$. Then the Pontryagin bundle $P_\Gamma = T\Gamma \oplus T^*\Gamma$ is a Lie groupoid over $TM \oplus A^*$, and the canonical projection $P_\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a Lie groupoid morphism.

We will write $\mathbb{T}t$ for the target map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}t : \quad \mathbb{P}_\Gamma &\rightarrow TM \oplus A^* \\ (v_g, \alpha_g) &\mapsto (Tt(v_g), \hat{t}(\alpha_g)) \end{aligned} ,$$

$\mathbb{T}s$ for the source map

$$\mathbb{T}s : \mathbb{P}_\Gamma \rightarrow TM \oplus A^*$$

and $\mathbb{T}\epsilon$, $\mathbb{T}\iota$, $\mathbb{T}m$ for the embedding of the units, the inversion map and the multiplication of this Lie groupoid, i.e. for instance

$$\mathbb{T}s(e_g) = (s_*, \hat{s})(e_g) \in T_{s(g)}M \times A_{s(g)}^*$$

for all $e_g \in \mathbb{P}_\Gamma(g)$.

2.4. Pontryagin bundle over a Lie algebroid. Given any vector bundle $q_A : A \rightarrow M$, the map $Tq_A : TA \rightarrow TM$ has a vector bundle structure obtained by applying the tangent functor to the operations in $A \rightarrow M$. The operations in $TA \rightarrow TM$ are consequently vector bundle morphisms with respect to the tangent bundle structures in $TA \rightarrow A$ and $TM \rightarrow M$ and TA with these two structures is therefore a double vector bundle which we call the *tangent double vector bundle of $A \rightarrow M$* (see [26] and references given there).

If $(q_A : A \rightarrow M, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$ is a Lie algebroid, then there is a Lie algebroid structure on $Tq_A : TA \rightarrow TM$ defined in [28] with respect to which $p_A : TA \rightarrow A$ is a Lie algebroid morphism over $p_M : TM \rightarrow M$; we now call this the *tangent prolongation of $A \rightarrow M$* .

For a general vector bundle $q : A \rightarrow M$, there is also a double vector bundle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*A & \xrightarrow{r_A} & A^* \\ c_A \downarrow & & \downarrow q^* \\ A & \xrightarrow{q_A} & M \end{array} . \quad (7)$$

Here the map r_A is the composition of the Legendre transformation $T^*A \rightarrow T^*A^*$ with the projection $T^*A^* \rightarrow A^*$ [28].

Elements of T^*A can be represented locally as (ω, a, ϕ) where $\omega \in T_m^*M$, $a \in A_m$, $\phi \in A_m^*$ for some $m \in M$. In these terms the Legendre transformation

$$R : T^*A \rightarrow T^*A^*$$

can be defined by $R(\omega, \phi, a) = (-\omega, a, \phi)$; for an intrinsic definition see [28]. This R is an isomorphism of double vector bundles preserving the side bundles; that is to say, it is a vector bundle morphism over both A and A^* . Since A is a Lie algebroid, its dual A^* has a linear Poisson structure, and the cotangent space T^*A^* has a Lie algebroid structure over A^* . Hence, there is a unique Lie algebroid structure on $r_A : T^*A \rightarrow A^*$ with respect to which the projection $T^*A \rightarrow A$ is a Lie algebroid morphism over $A^* \rightarrow M$. As a consequence, one can form the product Lie algebroid $TA \times_A T^*A \rightarrow TM \times_M A^*$. Thus we have the following

Proposition 2.7. *Let $A \rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid. Then the Pontryagin bundle P_A is naturally a Lie algebroid. Moreover, the canonical projection $P_A \rightarrow A$ is a Lie algebroid morphism.*

The double vector bundle $(P_A, TM \oplus A^*, A, M)$ is a VB-Lie algebroid in the sense of Gracia-Saz and Mehta [14].

2.5. Canonical identifications. The canonical pairing $\lrcorner \cdot, \cdot \rfloor_A : A \oplus A^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ induces a nondegenerate pairing $\langle \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rangle_A = \text{pr}_2 \circ T \lrcorner \cdot, \cdot \rfloor_A$ on $TA \times_{TM} TA^*$, where $\text{pr}_2 : T\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (see [27]):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \oplus A^* & & TA \times_{TM} TA^* \\ \lrcorner \cdot, \cdot \rfloor_A \downarrow & & \downarrow T \lrcorner \cdot, \cdot \rfloor_A \\ \mathbb{R} & & T\mathbb{R} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \langle \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rangle_A \\ \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} \mathbb{R} \end{array}$$

That is, if $\chi = \frac{d}{dt} \big|_{t=0} \varphi(t) \in TA^*$ and $\xi = \frac{d}{dt} \big|_{t=0} a(t) \in TA$ are such that $Tq_A(\xi) = Tc_A(\chi)$, then $\langle \langle \chi, \xi \rangle \rangle_A = \frac{d}{dt} \big|_{t=0} \lrcorner a(t), \varphi(t) \rfloor_A$. For instance, if $X \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(A^*)$, then $TX \in \Gamma_{TM}(TA)$ and $T\xi \in \Gamma_{TM}(TA^*)$ are such that $Tq_A(TX) = \text{Id}_{TM} = Tc_A(T\xi)$ and we have for all $v_p = \dot{c}(0) \in T_pM$:

$$\langle \langle TX(v_p), T\xi(v_p) \rangle \rangle_A = \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} \lrcorner X, \xi \rfloor_A (c(t)) = \text{pr}_2(T_p(\xi(X))(v_p)). \quad (8)$$

If $(Tq_A)^\vee : (TA)^\vee \rightarrow TM$ is the vector bundle that is dual to the vector bundle $Tq_A : TA \rightarrow TM$, there is an induced isomorphism I

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TA^* & \xrightarrow{I} & (TA)^\vee \\ Tc_A \downarrow & & \downarrow (Tq_A)^\vee \\ TM & \xrightarrow{\quad} & TM \end{array}$$

that is defined by

$$\lrcorner \xi, I(\chi) \rfloor_{TA \rightarrow TM} = \langle \langle \xi, \chi \rangle \rangle_A \quad (9)$$

for all $\chi \in TA^*$ and $\xi \in TA$ such that $Tc_A(\chi) = Tq_A(\xi)$. That is, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TA \times_{TM} TA^* & \xrightarrow{T \lrcorner \cdot, \cdot \rfloor_A} & T\mathbb{R} \\ (\text{Id}, I) \downarrow & \searrow \langle \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rangle_A & \downarrow \text{pr}_2 \\ TA \times_{TM} (TA)^\vee & \xrightarrow{\lrcorner \cdot, \cdot \rfloor_{TA}} & \mathbb{R} \end{array}$$

Applying this to the case $q_A = p_{TM}$, we get an isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(T^*M) & \xrightarrow{I} & (TTM)^\vee \\ Tc_M \downarrow & & \downarrow (Tp_M)^\vee \\ TM & \xrightarrow{\quad} & TM \end{array}$$

We have also the canonical involution

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TTM & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & TTM \\ Tp_M \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{TM} \\ TM & \xrightarrow{\quad} & TM \end{array}$$

Recall that for $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ the map $TV : TM \rightarrow TTM$ is a section of $Tp_M : TTM \rightarrow TM$ and $\sigma(TV)$ is a section of $p_{TM} : TTM \rightarrow TM$, i.e. a vector field on TM .

We get an isomorphism $\varsigma := \sigma^* \circ I : T(T^*M) \rightarrow T^*(TM)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(T^*M) & \xrightarrow{\varsigma} & T^*(TM) \\ Tc_M \downarrow & & \downarrow c_{TM} \\ TM & \longrightarrow & TM \end{array}$$

Proposition 2.8. *The map $\Sigma := (\sigma, \varsigma) : TP_M \rightarrow P_{TM}$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TP_M & \xrightarrow{\Sigma} & P_{TM} \\ T\text{pr}_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr}_{TM} \\ TM & \longrightarrow & TM \end{array}, \quad (10)$$

where $\text{pr}_M : P_M \rightarrow M$ is the projection, establishes an isomorphism of vector bundles.

2.6. Lie functor from P_Γ to P_A .

Proposition 2.9. *Let $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid A . Then the Lie algebroid of P_Γ is canonically isomorphic to P_A .*

Moreover, the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\Gamma$ is a groupoid morphism: $P_\Gamma \times_\Gamma P_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Its corresponding Lie algebroid morphism coincides with the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A : P_A \times_A P_A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, under the canonical isomorphism $A(P_\Gamma) \cong P_A$.

This is a standard result. Below we recall its proof which will be useful in the following.

For any Lie groupoid $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ with Lie algebroid $A \rightarrow M$, the tangent bundle projection $p_\Gamma : T\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a groupoid morphism over $p_M : TM \rightarrow M$ and applying the Lie functor gives a canonical morphism $A(p_\Gamma) : A(T\Gamma) \rightarrow A$. This acquires a vector bundle structure by applying $A(\cdot)$ to the operations in $T\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$. This yields a system of vector bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(T\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{q_{A(T\Gamma)}} & TM \\ A(p_\Gamma) \downarrow & & \downarrow p_M \\ A & \xrightarrow{q_A} & M \end{array}$$

in which $A(T\Gamma)$ has two vector bundle structures, the maps defining each being morphisms with respect to the other; that is to say, $A(T\Gamma)$ is a double vector bundle.

Associated with the vector bundle $q_A : A \rightarrow M$ is the tangent double vector bundle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TA & \xrightarrow{Tq_A} & TM \\ p_A \downarrow & & \downarrow p_M \\ A & \xrightarrow{q_A} & M \end{array}$$

It is shown in [28] that the canonical involution $\sigma : T(T\Gamma) \rightarrow T(T\Gamma)$ restricts to a canonical map

$$\sigma_\Gamma : A(T\Gamma) \rightarrow TA$$

which is an isomorphism of double vector bundles preserving the side bundles. Note that here, A is seen as a submanifold of $T\Gamma$.

Similarly, the cotangent groupoid structure $T^*\Gamma \rightrightarrows A^*$ is defined by maps which are vector bundle morphisms and, reciprocally, the operations in the vector bundle $c_\Gamma : T^*\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$

are groupoid morphisms. Taking the Lie algebroid of $T^*\Gamma \rightrightarrows A^*$ we get a double vector bundle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(T^*\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{q_{A(T^*\Gamma)}} & A^* \\ A(c_\Gamma) \downarrow & & \downarrow p_M \\ A & \xrightarrow{q_A} & M \end{array} \quad (11)$$

where the vector bundle operations in $A(T^*\Gamma) \rightarrow A$ are obtained by applying the Lie functor to those in $T^*\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$.

It follows from the definitions of the operations in $T^*\Gamma \rightrightarrows A^*$ that the canonical pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{T\Gamma} : T\Gamma \times_\Gamma T^*\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a groupoid morphism into the additive group(oid) $\mathbb{R}$. Hence $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{T\Gamma}$ induces a Lie algebroid morphism $A(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{T\Gamma}) : A(T\Gamma) \times_A A(T^*\Gamma) \rightarrow A(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$. Note that $A(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{T\Gamma})$ is the restriction to $A(T\Gamma) \times_A A(T^*\Gamma)$ of $\langle \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rangle_{T\Gamma} : T(T\Gamma) \times_\Gamma T(T^*\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

As noted in [28], $A(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{T\Gamma})$ is nondegenerate, and therefore induces an isomorphism of double vector bundles $I_\Gamma : A(T^*\Gamma) \rightarrow A(T\Gamma)^\vee$, where $A(T\Gamma)^\vee$ is the dual of $A(T\Gamma) \rightarrow A$. Now dualizing $\sigma_\Gamma^{-1} : TA \rightarrow A(T\Gamma)$ over A , we define

$$\varsigma_\Gamma = (\sigma_\Gamma^{-1})^* \circ I_\Gamma : A(T^*\Gamma) \rightarrow T^*A;$$

this is an isomorphism of double vector bundles preserving the side bundles. The Lie algebroids $T^*A \rightarrow A^*$ and $A(T^*\Gamma) \rightarrow A^*$ are isomorphic via ς_Γ .

The Lie algebroid of the direct sum $P_\Gamma = T\Gamma \oplus T^*\Gamma$ is equal to

$$A(P_\Gamma) = T_U^{\mathbb{T}s} P_\Gamma,$$

where we write U for the unit space of P_Γ ; i.e., $U := TM \oplus A^*$. By the considerations above, we have a Lie algebroid morphism $\Sigma_\Gamma = \Sigma|_{A(P_\Gamma)} = (\sigma_\Gamma, \varsigma_\Gamma)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & A & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & A \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \Sigma_\Gamma & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ A(P_\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P_A = TA \oplus T^*A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P_A = TA \oplus T^*A \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & M & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \text{Id} & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ TM \oplus A^* & \xrightarrow{\quad} & TM \oplus A^* & \xrightarrow{\quad} & TM \oplus A^* \end{array}$$

preserving the side bundles A and $TM \oplus A^*$.

Recall that we have also a map

$$\Sigma = (\sigma, \varsigma) : TP_\Gamma \rightarrow P_{T\Gamma}.$$

Lemma 2.10. *Let $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid and u an element of $A(P_\Gamma) \subseteq TP_\Gamma$ projection to $a_m \in A$ and $(v_m, \alpha_m) \in T_m M \times A_m^*$. Then, if $\Sigma_\Gamma(u) = (v_{a_m}, \alpha_{a_m}) \in P_A(a_m)$ and $\Sigma(u) = (\tilde{v}_{a_m}, \tilde{\alpha}_{a_m}) \in P_{T\Gamma}(a_m)$, we have*

$$\iota_* v_{a_m} = \tilde{v}_{a_m} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{a_m} = \tilde{\alpha}_{a_m}|_{T_{a_m} A}.$$

Proof. The first equality follows immediately from the definition of σ_Γ .

Choose $T_{a_m}A \ni w_{a_m} = \sigma_\Gamma(y)$ for some $y \in \mathbf{A}(T\Gamma) \subseteq T(T\Gamma)$ and $\tilde{w}_{a_m} := \iota_* w_{a_m}$. Write also $U = (x, \xi)$ with $x \in \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma)$ and $\xi \in \mathbf{A}(T^*\Gamma) \subseteq T(T^*\Gamma)$, i.e. $\alpha_{a_m} = \varsigma_\Gamma(\xi)$. Then we have $\mathbf{A}(p_\Gamma)(y) = \mathbf{A}(c_\Gamma)(\xi)$ and we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \wr w_{a_m}, \alpha_{a_m} \int_{TA} &= \wr y, I_\Gamma(\xi) \int_{\mathbf{A}(T\Gamma)} \\ &= \mathbf{A}(\wr \cdot, \cdot \int_{T\Gamma})(y, \xi) = T(\wr \cdot, \cdot \int_{T\Gamma})(y, \xi) \\ &= \langle \langle y, \xi \rangle \rangle = \wr y, I(\xi) \int_{TP_\Gamma} \\ &= \wr \sigma(y), \varsigma(\xi) \int_{p_{T\Gamma}} = \wr \tilde{w}_{a_m}, \tilde{\alpha}_{a_m} \int_{p_{T\Gamma}} = \wr w_{a_m}, \iota^* \tilde{\alpha}_{a_m} \int_{TA}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\Gamma$ is a groupoid morphism $\mathbf{P}_\Gamma \times_\Gamma \mathbf{P}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Hence, we can consider the Lie algebroid morphism

$$\mathbf{A}(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\Gamma) : \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma) \times_A \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}.$$

We have

$$\mathbf{A}(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\Gamma) = (\text{pr}_2 \circ T\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\Gamma) |_{\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma) \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma)}. \quad (12)$$

We can see from the proof of the last lemma that $\mathbf{A}(\wr \cdot, \cdot \int_{T\Gamma})$ coincides with $\wr \cdot \int_{TA}$ under the isomorphism Σ_Γ . Hence, $\mathbf{A}(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\Gamma)$ coincides with the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A : \mathbf{P}_A \times_A \mathbf{P}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, under the canonical isomorphism $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma) \cong \mathbf{P}_A$.

3. MULTIPLICATIVE GENERALIZED COMPLEX GEOMETRY

3.1. Glanon groupoids.

Definition 3.1. Let $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid $A \rightarrow M$. A multiplicative generalized complex structure on Γ is a Lie groupoid morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T\Gamma \oplus T^*\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}} & T\Gamma \oplus T^*\Gamma \\ \text{Tt} \downarrow \quad \text{Ts} \downarrow & & \text{Tt} \downarrow \quad \text{Ts} \downarrow \\ TM \oplus A^* & \xrightarrow{j_u} & TM \oplus A^* \end{array}$$

such that $\mathcal{J}$ is a generalized complex structure.

The pair $(\Gamma \rightrightarrows M, \mathcal{J})$ is then called a Glanon groupoid.

This is equivalent to $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{J}}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{J}}}$, the eigenspaces of $\mathcal{J} : (T\Gamma \oplus T^*\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow (T\Gamma \oplus T^*\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ to the eigenvalues i and $-i$ being multiplicative Dirac structures on $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ [31, 18].

Note also that $\mathcal{J}$ is a Lie groupoid morphism if and only if the maps $N : T\Gamma \rightarrow T\Gamma$, $\phi^\sharp : T^*\Gamma \rightarrow T\Gamma$, $N^* : T^*\Gamma \rightarrow T^*\Gamma$ and $\omega^\flat : T\Gamma \rightarrow T^*\Gamma$ such that

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} N & \pi^\sharp \\ \omega^\flat & -N^* \end{pmatrix}$$

are all Lie groupoid morphisms.

In particular, we have the following

Proposition 3.2. If Γ is a Glanon groupoid, then Γ is naturally a Poisson groupoid.

Example 3.3 (Glanon groups). Let $G \rightrightarrows \{*\}$ be a Glanon Lie group. Since any multiplicative two-form must vanish (see for instance [18]), the underlying generalized complex structure on G is equivalent to a multiplicative holomorphic Poisson structure. Therefore, Glanon Lie groups are in one-one correspondence with complex Poisson Lie groups.

Example 3.4 (Symplectic groupoids). Consider a Lie groupoid $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ equipped with a non-degenerate two-form ω . Then the map

$$\mathcal{J}_\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(\omega^\flat)^{-1} \\ \omega^\flat & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\omega^\flat : T\Gamma \rightarrow T^*\Gamma$ is the bundle map $X \mapsto \mathbf{i}_X \omega$, defines a Glanon groupoid structure on $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ if and only if (Γ, ω) is a symplectic groupoid.

Example 3.5 (Holomorphic Lie groupoids). Let Γ be a holomorphic Lie groupoid with $J_\Gamma : T\Gamma \rightarrow T\Gamma$ being its almost complex structure. Then the map

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} J_\Gamma & 0 \\ 0 & -J_\Gamma^* \end{pmatrix},$$

defines a Glanon groupoid structure on Γ

3.2. Glanon Lie algebroids. Let A be a Lie algebroid over M . Recall that $P_A = TA \oplus T^*A$ has the structure of a Lie algebroid over $TM \oplus A^*$.

Definition 3.6. A Glanon Lie algebroid is a Lie algebroid A endowed with a generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}_A : P_A \rightarrow P_A$ that is a Lie algebroid morphism.

Proposition 3.7. If A is a Glanon Lie algebroid, then (A, A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid.

Example 3.8 (Glanon Lie algebra). Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra. Then $P_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \times (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*)$ is a Lie algebroid over $\mathfrak{g}^*$. Hence, a map

$$\mathcal{J} : P_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow P_{\mathfrak{g}},$$

$$\mathcal{J}(x, y, \xi) = (x, \mathcal{J}_{x, \mathfrak{g}}(y, \xi), \mathcal{J}_{x, \mathfrak{g}^*}(y, \xi))$$

can only be a Lie algebroid morphism if $\mathcal{J}_{x, \mathfrak{g}^*}(y, \xi) = \mathcal{J}_{x', \mathfrak{g}^*}(y', \xi)$ for all $x, y, x', y' \in \mathfrak{g}$. In particular, $\mathcal{J}_{x, \mathfrak{g}^*}(y, \xi) = \mathcal{J}_{x, \mathfrak{g}^*}(y', \xi)$ for all $x, y, y' \in \mathfrak{g}$ and the map $\mathcal{J}_x : \{x\} \times \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \{x\} \times \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}^*$ has the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} n_x & \pi_x^\sharp \\ 0 & -n_x^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

It thus follows that it must be equivalent to a complex Lie bialgebra.

Example 3.9 (Symplectic Lie algebroid). Let (M, π) be a Poisson manifold. Let A be the cotangent Lie algebroid $A = (T^*M)_\pi$. Then the map

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\omega_A^\flat)^{-1} \\ \omega_A^\flat & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where ω_A is the canonical cotangent symplectic structure on A , defines a Glanon Lie algebroid structure on A .

Example 3.10 (Holomorphic Lie algebroids). Let A be a holomorphic Lie algebroid. Let A_R be its underlying real Lie algebroid and $j : TA_R \rightarrow TA_R$ the corresponding almost complex structure. Then the map

$$\begin{pmatrix} j & 0 \\ 0 & -j^* \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a Glanon Lie algebroid structure on A_R .

3.3. Integration theorem. Now we are ready to state the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 3.11. *If Γ is a Glanon groupoid with Lie algebroid A , then A is a Glanon Lie algebroid.*

Conversely, given a Glanon Lie algebroid A , if Γ is a $\mathfrak{s}$ -connected and $\mathfrak{s}$ -simply connected Lie groupoid integrating A , then Γ is a Glanon groupoid.

Remark 3.12. Ortiz shows in his thesis [31] that multiplicative Dirac structures on a Lie groupoid $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ are in one-one correspondence with morphic Dirac structures on its Lie algebroid, i.e. Dirac structures $D_A \subseteq P_A$ such that D_A is a subalgebroid of $P_A \rightarrow TM \oplus A^*$ over a set $U \subseteq TM \oplus A^*$.

By extending this result to complex Dirac structures and using the fact that a multiplicative generalized complex structure on $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ is the same as a pair of transversal, complex conjugated, multiplicative Dirac structures in the complexified P_Γ , one finds an alternative method for the proof of our main theorem.

Applying this theorem to Example 3.4 and Example 3.9, we obtain immediately the following

Theorem 3.13. *Let (P, π) be a Poisson manifold. If Γ is a $\mathfrak{s}$ -connected and $\mathfrak{s}$ -simply connected Lie groupoid integrating the Lie algebroid $(T^*P)_\pi$, then Γ automatically admits a symplectic groupoid structure.*

Similarly, applying Theorem 3.11 to Examples 3.5 and 3.10, we obtain immediately the following

Theorem 3.14. *If Γ is a $\mathfrak{s}$ -connected and $\mathfrak{s}$ -simply connected Lie groupoid integrating the underlying real Lie algebroid A_R of a holomorphic Lie algebroid A , then Γ is a holomorphic Lie groupoid.*

A Glanon groupoid is automatically a Poisson groupoid, while a Glanon Lie algebroid must be a Lie bialgebroid. The following result reveals their connection

Theorem 3.15. *Let Γ be a Glanon groupoid with its Glanon Lie algebroid A , (Γ, π) and (A, A^*) their induced Poisson groupoid and Lie bialgebroid respectively. Then the corresponding Lie bialgebroid of (Γ, π) is isomorphic to (A, A^*) .*

3.4. Tangent Courant algebroid. In [3], Boumaiza-Zaalani proved that the tangent bundle of a Courant algebroid is naturally a Courant algebroid. In this section, we study the Courant algebroid structure on P_{TM} in terms of the isomorphism $\Sigma : TP_M \rightarrow P_{TM}$ defined in (10).

We need to introduce first some notations.

Definition 3.16. (a) *The map $\mathbb{T} : \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(TM)$ sends $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ to $\mathbb{T}V = \sigma(TV) \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$.*

(b) *The map $\mathbb{T} : \Omega^1(M) \rightarrow \Omega^1(TM)$ sends $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M)$ to $\mathbb{T}\alpha = \varsigma(T\alpha) \in \Omega^1(TM)$.*

(c) *The map $\mathbb{T} : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(TM)$ is given by*

$$\mathbb{T}f := \text{pr}_2 \circ Tf \in C^\infty(TM, \mathbb{R}),$$

for all functions $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Note that if $v_m = \dot{c}(0) \in T_m M$, then

$$\mathbb{T}f(v_m) = \mathbb{T}f(\dot{c}(0)) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (f \circ c(t)), \quad (13)$$

that is,

$$\mathbb{T}f = \mathbf{d}f : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Now introduce the map $\mathbb{T} : \Gamma(\mathbf{P}_M) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbf{P}_{TM})$ given by

$$\mathbb{T}(V, \alpha) = \Sigma(TV, T\alpha) = (\mathbb{T}V, \mathbb{T}\alpha)$$

for all $(V, \alpha) \in \Gamma(\mathbf{P}_M)$.

The main result of this section is the following:

Proposition 3.17. *For any $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(\mathbf{P}_M)$, we have*

$$[\mathbb{T}e_1, \mathbb{T}e_2] = \mathbb{T} [e_1, e_2] \quad (14)$$

$$\langle \mathbb{T}e_1, \mathbb{T}e_2 \rangle_{TM} = \mathbb{T} (\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_M). \quad (15)$$

The following results show that $\mathbb{T}f \in C^\infty(TM)$, $\mathbb{T}V \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$ and $\mathbb{T}\alpha \in \Omega^1(TM)$ are the complete lifts of $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M)$ in the sense of [39].

Lemma 3.18. *For all $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we have*

$$\mathbb{T}V(\mathbb{T}f) = \mathbb{T}(V(f)). \quad (16)$$

Proof. Let ϕ be the flow of V . For any $v_m = \dot{c}(0) \in T_m M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{T}V(\mathbb{T}f))(v_m) &= (\mathbb{T}V)(v_m)(\mathbb{T}f) = \sigma \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \phi_s(c(t)) \right) \mathbb{T}f \\ &= \left(\frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \phi_s(c(t)) \right) (\mathbb{T}f) \\ &= \left(\frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \mathbb{T}f \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \phi_s(c(t)) \right) \right) \\ &\stackrel{(13)}{=} \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (f \circ \phi_s)(c(t)) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} (f \circ \phi_s)(c(t)) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} V(f)(c(t)) \stackrel{(13)}{=} \mathbb{T}(V(f))(v_m). \end{aligned}$$

□

The following lemma characterizes the sections $\mathbb{T}\alpha$ of $\Omega^1(TM)$.

Lemma 3.19. (a) *For all $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M)$ and $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we have*

$$\lrcorner \mathbb{T}V, \mathbb{T}\alpha \rceil_{TTM} = \mathbb{T} (\lrcorner V, \alpha \rceil_{TM}). \quad (17)$$

(b) $\xi \in \Omega^1(TM)$ satisfies

$$\lrcorner \mathbb{T}V, \xi \rceil_{T\Gamma} = 0$$

for all $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ if and only if $\xi = 0$.

Proof. (a) Choose $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Then, using $\sigma^2 = \text{Id}_{TTM}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \lrcorner \mathbb{T}V, \mathbb{T}\alpha \rceil_{p_{TM}} &= \lrcorner \sigma(TV), (\sigma^* \circ I)(T\alpha) \rceil_{p_{TM}} \\ &= \lrcorner TV, I(T\alpha) \rceil_{Tp_M} \\ &= \langle \lrcorner TV, T\alpha \rangle_{TM} \stackrel{(8)}{=} T \lrcorner V, \alpha \rceil_{TM}. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Let $\xi \in \Omega^1(TM)$ be such that $\xi(\mathbb{T}V) = 0$ for all $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. For any $u \in TM$ with $u \neq 0$ and $v \in T_u(TM)$, there exists a vector field $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ such that $\mathbb{T}V(u) = v$. This yields $\xi(v) = 0$. Therefore, ξ vanishes at all points of TM except for the zero section of TM . By continuity, we get $\xi = 0$. $\square$

Using this, we can show the following formulas.

Lemma 3.20. (a) For all $V, W \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we have

$$[\mathbb{T}V, \mathbb{T}W] = \mathbb{T}[V, W].$$

- (b) For any $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(M)$ and $V, W \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{T}V}\mathbb{T}\beta - \mathbf{i}_{\mathbb{T}W}\mathbf{d}\mathbb{T}\alpha = \mathbb{T}(\mathcal{L}_V\beta - \mathbf{i}_W\alpha).$$

Proof. (a) This is an easy computation, using the fact that if ϕ is the flow of the vector field V , then $T\phi$ is the flow of $\mathbb{T}V$ (alternatively, see [27]).

- (b) For any $U \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{p_{TM}} \langle \mathbb{T}U, \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{T}V}\mathbb{T}\beta - \mathbf{i}_{\mathbb{T}W}\mathbf{d}\mathbb{T}\alpha \rangle &= \mathbb{T}V \langle \mathbb{T}\beta, \mathbb{T}U \rangle - \langle \mathbb{T}\beta, \mathbb{T}[V, U] \rangle - \mathbb{T}W \langle \mathbb{T}\alpha, \mathbb{T}U \rangle \\ &\quad + \mathbb{T}U \langle \mathbb{T}\alpha, \mathbb{T}W \rangle + \langle \mathbb{T}\alpha, \mathbb{T}[W, U] \rangle \\ &= \mathbb{T}V (\mathbb{T}\langle \beta, U \rangle) - \mathbb{T}\langle \beta, [V, U] \rangle - \mathbb{T}W (\mathbb{T}\langle \alpha, U \rangle) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{T}U (\mathbb{T}\langle \alpha, W \rangle) + \mathbb{T}\langle \alpha, [W, U] \rangle \\ &= \mathbb{T} (V \langle \beta, U \rangle) - \mathbb{T}\langle \beta, [V, U] \rangle - \mathbb{T} (W \langle \alpha, U \rangle) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{T} (U \langle \alpha, W \rangle) + \mathbb{T}\langle \alpha, [W, U] \rangle \\ &= \mathbb{T} \int_{p_M} \langle U, \mathcal{L}_V\beta - \mathbf{i}_W\mathbf{d}\alpha \rangle \\ &= \int_{p_{TM}} \langle \mathbb{T}U, \mathbb{T}(\mathcal{L}_V\beta - \mathbf{i}_W\mathbf{d}\alpha) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We get

$$\int_{p_{TM}} \langle \mathbb{T}U, \mathbb{T}(\mathcal{L}_V\beta - \mathbf{i}_W\mathbf{d}\alpha) - (\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{T}V}\mathbb{T}\beta - \mathbf{i}_{\mathbb{T}W}\mathbf{d}\mathbb{T}\alpha) \rangle = 0$$

for all $U \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and we can conclude using Lemma 3.19. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 3.17. Equation (15) follows immediately from (17).

Formula (14) for the Dorfman bracket on sections of $\mathbf{P}_{TM} = T(TM) \oplus T^*(TM)$ follows from Lemma 3.20. (Note that we can show in the same manner that the Courant bracket is compatible with $\mathbb{T}$.) $\square$

3.5. Nijenhuis tensor. Now let $\mathcal{J} : \mathbf{P}_M \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_M$ be a vector bundle morphism over the identity. Consider the map

$$T\mathcal{J} : T\mathbf{P}_M \rightarrow T\mathbf{P}_M,$$

and the map $\mathbb{T}\mathcal{J}$ defined by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T\mathbf{P}_M & \xrightarrow{T\mathcal{J}} & T\mathbf{P}_M \\ \Sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \Sigma \\ \mathbf{P}_{TM} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}\mathcal{J}} & \mathbf{P}_{TM} \end{array}$$

i.e.,

$$\mathbb{T}\mathcal{J} = \Sigma \circ T\mathcal{J} \circ \Sigma^{-1}.$$

Then, by definition, we get for all $e \in \Gamma(\mathbf{P}_M)$:

$$\mathbb{T}\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{T}e) = (\Sigma \circ T\mathcal{J})(\mathbb{T}e) = \Sigma(T(\mathcal{J}(e))) = \mathbb{T}(\mathcal{J}(e)). \quad (18)$$

The following lemma is immediate.

Lemma 3.21. (a) $\mathbb{T}(\text{Id}_{\mathbf{P}_M}) = \text{Id}_{\mathbf{P}_{TM}}$.
(b) Let $\mathcal{J} : \mathbf{P}_M \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_M$ be a vector bundle morphism over the identity. Then

$$\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{J}^2) = (\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{J}))^2.$$

Given a vector bundle morphism $\mathcal{J} : \mathbf{P}_M \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_M$, we can consider as above

$$T\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}} : T\mathbf{P}_M \times_{TM} T\mathbf{P}_M \rightarrow T\mathbf{P}_M.$$

Define $\mathbb{T}\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}} : \mathbf{P}_{TM} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{TM}$ by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T\mathbf{P}_M \times_{TM} T\mathbf{P}_M & \xrightarrow{T\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}}} & T\mathbf{P}_M \\ \Sigma \times \Sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \Sigma \\ \mathbf{P}_{TM} \times_{TM} \mathbf{P}_{TM} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}}} & \mathbf{P}_{TM} \end{array}$$

An easy computation using (18) and (14) yields

$$\mathbb{T}\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbb{T}e_1, \mathbb{T}e_2) = \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{T}\mathcal{J}}(\mathbb{T}e_1, \mathbb{T}e_2)$$

for all $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(\mathbf{P}_M)$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.19, this implies that $\mathbb{T}\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{T}\mathcal{J}}$ coincide at all points of TM except for the zero section of TM . By continuity, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.22. Let $\mathcal{J} : \mathbf{P}_M \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_M$ be a vector bundle morphism. Then

$$\mathbb{T}\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{T}\mathcal{J}}. \quad (19)$$

3.6. Multiplicative Nijenhuis tensor. Let $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ and $\Gamma' \rightrightarrows M'$ be Lie groupoids. A map $\Phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ is a groupoid morphism if and only if the map $\Phi \times \Phi \times \Phi$ restricts to a map

$$\Lambda_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\Gamma'},$$

where Λ_{Γ} and $\Lambda_{\Gamma'}$ are the graphs of the multiplications in $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ and respectively $\Gamma' \rightrightarrows M'$.

Consider the graphs of the multiplications on $T\Gamma$ and $T^*\Gamma$:

$$\Lambda_{T\Gamma} = \{(v_g, v_h, v_g \star v_h) \mid v_g, v_h \in T\Gamma, T\mathfrak{t}(v_h) = T\mathfrak{s}(v_g)\} = T\Lambda_{\Gamma}$$

and

$$\Lambda_{T^*\Gamma} = \{(\alpha_g, \alpha_h, \alpha_g \star \alpha_h) \mid \alpha_g, \alpha_h \in T^*\Gamma, \hat{\mathfrak{t}}(\alpha_h) = \hat{\mathfrak{s}}(\alpha_g)\}.$$

Recall that if

$$(\Lambda_{T^*\Gamma})^{\text{op}} = \{(\alpha_g, \alpha_h, -(\alpha_g \star \alpha_h)) \mid \alpha_g, \alpha_h \in T^*\Gamma, \hat{\mathfrak{t}}(\alpha_h) = \hat{\mathfrak{s}}(\alpha_g)\},$$

i.e.

$$\Lambda_{T\Gamma} \oplus_{\Lambda_{\Gamma}} (\Lambda_{T^*\Gamma})^{\text{op}} = (\text{Id} \times \text{Id} \times \mathcal{I}) (\Lambda_{T\Gamma} \oplus_{\Lambda_{\Gamma}} \Lambda_{T^*\Gamma}),$$

then

$$(\Lambda_{T^*\Gamma})^{\text{op}} = (T\Lambda_{\Gamma})^{\circ}.$$

A map $\mathcal{J} : \mathbf{P}_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\Gamma}$ is a groupoid morphism if and only if $\Lambda_{T\Gamma} \oplus_{\Lambda_{\Gamma}} \Lambda_{T^*\Gamma}$ is stable under the map $\mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J}$. This yields:

Lemma 3.23. The map $\mathcal{J}$ is a groupoid morphism if and only if $\Lambda_{T\Gamma} \oplus_{\Lambda_{\Gamma}} (\Lambda_{T^*\Gamma})^{\text{op}}$ is stable under the map $\mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \times \tilde{\mathcal{J}}$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ is defined by (6).

Since $\Lambda_{T\Gamma} = T\Lambda_\Gamma$ and $(\Lambda_{T^*\Gamma})^{\text{op}} \cong (T\Lambda_\Gamma)^\circ$, we get that $\mathcal{J}$ is multiplicative if and only if $T\Lambda_\Gamma \oplus (T\Lambda_\Gamma)^\circ$ is stable under $\mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \times \bar{\mathcal{J}}$.

Similarly, the map $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J} : \mathbf{P}_\Gamma \times_\Gamma \mathbf{P}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_\Gamma$ is multiplicative if and only if $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J}$ restricts to a map

$$(\Lambda_{T\Gamma} \oplus_{\Lambda_\Gamma} \Lambda_{T^*\Gamma}) \times_{\Lambda_\Gamma} (\Lambda_{T\Gamma} \oplus_{\Lambda_\Gamma} \Lambda_{T^*\Gamma}) \rightarrow \Lambda_{T\Gamma} \oplus_{\Lambda_\Gamma} \Lambda_{T^*\Gamma}.$$

Lemma 3.24. *The map $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J}$ is multiplicative if and only if $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{N}_{\bar{\mathcal{J}}}$ restricts to a map*

$$(T\Lambda_\Gamma \oplus_{\Lambda_\Gamma} (T\Lambda_\Gamma)^\circ) \times_{\Lambda_\Gamma} (T\Lambda_\Gamma \oplus_{\Lambda_\Gamma} (T\Lambda_\Gamma)^\circ) \rightarrow T\Lambda_\Gamma \oplus_{\Lambda_\Gamma} (T\Lambda_\Gamma)^\circ.$$

The following lemma is easy to prove.

Lemma 3.25. *If M is a smooth manifold and N a submanifold of M , then the Courant-Dorfman bracket on $\mathbf{P}_M$ restricts to sections of $TN \oplus TN^\circ$.*

Note that $TN \oplus (TN)^\circ$ is a generalized Dirac structure in the sense of [1]. We get the following theorem.

Theorem 3.26. *Let $(\Gamma \rightrightarrows M, \mathcal{J})$ be an almost generalized complex groupoid. Then the Nijenhuis tensor $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J}$ is a Lie groupoid morphism*

$$\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J} : \mathbf{P}_\Gamma \times_\Gamma \mathbf{P}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_\Gamma.$$

Proof. Choose sections $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \Gamma(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma)$ such that

$$(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)|_{\Lambda_\Gamma}, (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)|_{\Lambda_\Gamma} \in \Gamma(T\Lambda_\Gamma \oplus_{\Lambda_\Gamma} (T\Lambda_\Gamma)^\circ).$$

Then we have

$$(\mathcal{J}\xi_1, \mathcal{J}\xi_2, \bar{\mathcal{J}}\xi_3)|_{\Lambda_\Gamma}, (\mathcal{J}\eta_1, \mathcal{J}\eta_2, \bar{\mathcal{J}}\eta_3)|_{\Lambda_\Gamma} \in \Gamma(T\Lambda_\Gamma \oplus_{\Lambda_\Gamma} (T\Lambda_\Gamma)^\circ).$$

From Lemma 3.25, it follows that

$$(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{N}_{\bar{\mathcal{J}}})((\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3), (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3))$$

takes values in

$$T\Lambda_\Gamma \oplus_{\Lambda_\Gamma} (T\Lambda_\Gamma)^\circ$$

on Λ_Γ . By Lemma 3.24, we are done. $\square$

3.7. Infinitesimal multiplicative Nijenhuis tensor.

Definition 3.27. *Let $\mathcal{J} : \mathbf{P}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_A$ be a Lie groupoid morphism. The map*

$$\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J}) : \mathbf{P}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_A$$

is defined by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_\Gamma} & \mathbf{P}_A \\ \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{J}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J}) \\ \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_\Gamma} & \mathbf{P}_A \end{array}.$$

The following lemma can be found in [5].

Lemma 3.28. *Let $\mathcal{J} : \mathbf{P}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_\Gamma$ be a multiplicative map. Then*

$$\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J}) : \mathbf{P}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_A$$

is a vector bundle morphism if and only if

$$\mathcal{J} : \mathbf{P}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_\Gamma$$

is a vector bundle morphism.

Since the map $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J} : \mathbf{P}_\Gamma \times_\Gamma \mathbf{P}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_\Gamma$ is then also a Lie groupoid morphism by Theorem 3.26, we can also consider

$$\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J}) : \mathbf{P}_A \times_A \mathbf{P}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_A$$

defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma) \times_A \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_\Gamma^2} & \mathbf{P}_A \times_A \mathbf{P}_A \\ \downarrow \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J}) & & \downarrow \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J}) \\ \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_\Gamma} & \mathbf{P}_A \end{array} .$$

The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 3.29. *Let $\mathcal{J} : \mathbf{P}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_\Gamma$ be a vector bundle homomorphism and a Lie groupoid morphism. Then*

- (a) *The map $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J})$ is $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$ -orthogonal if and only if $\mathcal{J}$ is $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\Gamma$ -orthogonal and,*
- (b) *in that case,*

$$\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J}) = \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J})}. \quad (20)$$

For the proof, we need a couple of lemmas.

Definition 3.30. *Let M be a smooth manifold and $\iota : N \hookrightarrow M$ a submanifold of M .*

- (a) *A section $e_N =: X_N + \alpha_N$ is ι -related to $e_M = X_M + \alpha_M$ if $\iota_* X_N = X_M|_N$ and $\alpha_N = \iota^* \alpha_M$. We write then $e_N \sim_\iota e_M$.*
- (b) *Two vector bundle morphisms $\mathcal{J}_N : \mathbf{P}_N \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_N$ and $\mathcal{J}_M : \mathbf{P}_M \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_M$ are said to be ι -related if for each section e_N of $\mathbf{P}_N$, there exists a section $e_M \in \mathbf{P}_M$ such that $e_N \sim_\iota e_M$ and $\mathcal{J}_N(e_N) \sim_\iota \mathcal{J}_M(e_M)$.*
- (c) *Two vector bundle morphisms $\mathcal{N}_N : \mathbf{P}_N \times_N \mathbf{P}_N \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_N$ and $\mathcal{N}_M : \mathbf{P}_M \times_M \mathbf{P}_M \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_M$ are ι -related if for each pair of sections $e_N, f_N \in \Gamma(\mathbf{P}_N)$, there exist sections $e_M, f_M \in \Gamma(\mathbf{P}_M)$ such that $e_N \sim_\iota e_M$, $f_N \sim_\iota f_M$ and $\mathcal{N}_N(e_N, f_N) \sim_\iota \mathcal{N}_M(e_M, f_M)$.*

Lemma 3.31. *Let M be a manifold and $N \subseteq M$ a submanifold. If $e_N, f_N \in \Gamma(\mathbf{P}_N)$ are ι -related to $e_M, f_M \in \Gamma(\mathbf{P}_M)$, then $\llbracket e_N, f_N \rrbracket \sim_\iota \llbracket e_M, f_M \rrbracket$, for the Dorfman and the Courant bracket.*

Proof. This is an easy computation, see also [33]. □

Lemma 3.32. *If M is a manifold, $N \subseteq M$ a submanifold and $\mathcal{J}_N : \mathbf{P}_N \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_N$ and $\mathcal{J}_M : \mathbf{P}_M \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_M$ two ι -related vector bundle morphisms. Then the generalized Nijenhuis tensors $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}_N}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}_M}$ are ι -related.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 3.31 and the definition. □

Recall that the Lie algebroid A of a Lie groupoid $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ is an embedded submanifold of $T\Gamma$, $\iota : A \hookrightarrow T\Gamma$.

Remark 3.33. Note that Lemma 2.10 states that if $u \in \Gamma_A(A(P_\Gamma))$ and $\tilde{u} \in \Gamma_{T\Gamma}(TP_\Gamma)$ is an extension of u , then

$$\Sigma_\Gamma \circ u \sim_\iota \Sigma \circ \tilde{u}.$$

Lemma 3.34. Let $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid and $\mathcal{J} : P_\Gamma \rightarrow P_\Gamma$ a vector bundle morphism. If $\mathcal{J}$ is multiplicative, then

- (a) $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J}) : P_A \rightarrow P_A$ and $\mathbb{T}\mathcal{J} : P_{T\Gamma} \rightarrow P_{T\Gamma}$ are ι -related, and
- (b) $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J})$ and $\mathbb{T}\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J}$ are ι -related.

Proof. (a) Choose a section e_A of P_A . Then we have $\Sigma_\Gamma^{-1}(e_A) =: u \in \Gamma(A(P_\Gamma))$ and since $A(P_\Gamma) \subseteq TP_\Gamma$, we find a section $\tilde{u}$ of TP_Γ such that $\tilde{u}$ restricts to u . Set $e_{T\Gamma} := \Sigma \circ \tilde{u}$. By Lemma 2.10, we have then $e_A \sim_\iota e_{T\Gamma}$. Furthermore, by construction of $A(\mathcal{J})$, we know that $A(\mathcal{J}) \circ u = (T\mathcal{J}) \circ \tilde{u}$ on $TM \oplus A^* = TM \oplus TM^\circ \subseteq P_\Gamma$. We have then

$$\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J})(e_A) = \Sigma_\Gamma \circ A(\mathcal{J}) \circ u \sim_\iota \Sigma \circ T\mathcal{J} \circ \tilde{u} = \mathbb{T}\mathcal{J}(e_{T\Gamma}).$$

- (b) By definition of $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J})$, this can be shown in the same manner. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.29. (a) The map $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J})$ is $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$ -orthogonal if and only if

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A \circ (\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J}), \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J})) = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A.$$

We have

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A = \mathfrak{A}(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\Gamma) = A(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\Gamma) \circ (\Sigma_\Gamma^{-1}, \Sigma_\Gamma^{-1})$$

by definition and

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A \circ (\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J}), \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J})) \\ &= A(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\Gamma) \circ (\Sigma_\Gamma^{-1}, \Sigma_\Gamma^{-1}) \circ (\Sigma_\Gamma, \Sigma_\Gamma) \circ (A(\mathcal{J}), A(\mathcal{J})) \circ (\Sigma_\Gamma^{-1}, \Sigma_\Gamma^{-1}) \\ &= A(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\Gamma \circ (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J})) \circ (\Sigma_\Gamma^{-1}, \Sigma_\Gamma^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Sigma_\Gamma : A(P_\Gamma) \rightarrow P_A$ is an isomorphism, we get that $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J})$ is $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$ -orthogonal if and only if

$$A(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\Gamma) = A(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\Gamma \circ (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}))$$

and we can conclude.

- (b) Choose sections $e_A, f_A \in \Gamma(P_A)$ and $u, v \in \Gamma_A(A(P_\Gamma))$ such that $e_A = \Sigma_\Gamma \circ u$, $f_A = \Sigma_\Gamma \circ v$. Choose as in the proof of Lemma 3.34 two extensions $\tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{v} \in \Gamma_{T\Gamma}(TP_\Gamma)$ of u and v and set $e_{T\Gamma} := \Sigma \circ \tilde{u}$ and $f_{T\Gamma} := \Sigma \circ \tilde{v} \in \Gamma(P_{T\Gamma})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_A &\sim_\iota e_{T\Gamma} & f_A &\sim_\iota f_{T\Gamma}, \\ \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J})(e_A) &\sim_\iota \mathbb{T}\mathcal{J}(e_{T\Gamma}) & \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J})(f_A) &\sim_\iota \mathbb{T}\mathcal{J}(f_{T\Gamma}) \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J})(e_A, f_A) \sim_\iota \mathbb{T}\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J}(e_{T\Gamma}, f_{T\Gamma}).$$

But by Lemma 3.32, (21) yields also

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J})}(e_A, f_A) \sim_\iota \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{T}\mathcal{J}}(e_{T\Gamma}, f_{T\Gamma}).$$

Since $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{T}\mathcal{J}} = \mathbb{T}\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J}$ by Theorem 3.22, we get that

$$\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J})(e_A, f_A) \sim_\iota \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{T}\mathcal{J}}(e_{T\Gamma}, f_{T\Gamma}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J})}(e_A, f_A) \sim_\iota \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{T}\mathcal{J}}(e_{T\Gamma}, f_{T\Gamma}).$$

This yields

$$\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{J})(e_A, f_A) = \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J})}(e_A, f_A)$$

and the proof is complete. $\square$

The following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 3.35. *Let $\mathcal{J} : \mathbf{P}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_\Gamma$ be a vector bundle morphism and a Lie groupoid morphism. Then*

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J})} = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}} = 0.$$

3.8. Proof of the integration theorem. *Proof of Theorem 3.11*

By Lemma 3.28, the map $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J}) : \mathbf{P}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_A$ is a vector bundle morphism. Since $\mathcal{J}^2 = -\text{Id}_{\mathbf{P}_\Gamma}$, we have using Lemma 3.21:

$$(\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J}))^2 = -\text{Id}_{\mathbf{P}_A}.$$

By Corollary 3.35 and Theorem 3.29, we get

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J})} = 0$$

and

$$\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J}) \text{ is } \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A\text{-orthogonal.}$$

Since

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{J})} & \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ TM \oplus A^* & \longrightarrow & TM \oplus A^* \end{array}$$

is a Lie algebroid morphism and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma|_{\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma)}} & \mathbf{P}_A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ TM \oplus A^* & \longrightarrow & TM \oplus A^* \end{array}$$

is a Lie algebroid isomorphism over the identity, the map

$$\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J}) = \Sigma|_{\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma)} \circ \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{J}) \circ (\Sigma|_{\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma)})^{-1} = \Sigma|_{\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma)} \circ \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{J}) \circ \Sigma^{-1}|_{\mathbf{P}_A},$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{P}_A & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J})} & \mathbf{P}_A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ TM \oplus A^* & \longrightarrow & TM \oplus A^* \end{array}$$

is a Lie algebroid morphism.

For the second part, consider the map

$$A_{\mathcal{J}} := \Sigma^{-1}|_{\mathbf{P}_A} \circ \mathcal{J}_A \circ \Sigma|_{\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma)} : \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_\Gamma).$$

Since $\mathcal{J}_A : \mathbf{P}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_A$ is a Lie algebroid morphism, $A_{\mathcal{J}}$ is a Lie algebroid morphism and there is a unique Lie groupoid morphism $\mathcal{J} : \mathbf{P}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_\Gamma$ such that $A_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{J})$. By Lemma 3.28, $\mathcal{J}$ is a morphism of vector bundles.

We get then immediately $\mathcal{J}_A = \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J})$. Since $\mathcal{J}_A^2 = -\text{Id}_A$, we get $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J}^2) = -\text{Id}_A = \mathfrak{A}(-\text{Id}_{\mathbf{P}_\Gamma})$ and we can conclude by Theorem 3.29.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.11.

4. APPLICATION

4.1. Holomorphic Lie bialgebroids. Holomorphic Lie algebroids were studied for various purposes in the literature. See [4, 13, 24, 17, 36] and references cited there for details.

By definition, a holomorphic Lie algebroid is a holomorphic vector bundle $A \rightarrow X$, equipped with a holomorphic bundle map $A \xrightarrow{\rho} TX$, called the anchor map, and a structure of sheaf of complex Lie algebras on $\mathcal{A}$, such that

- (a) the anchor map ρ induces a homomorphism of sheaves of complex Lie algebras from $\mathcal{A}$ to Θ_X ;
- (b) and the Leibniz identity

$$[V, fW] = (\rho(V)f)W + f[V, W]$$

holds for all $V, W \in \mathcal{A}(U)$, $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ and all open subsets U of X .

Here $\mathcal{A}$ is the sheaf of holomorphic sections of $A \rightarrow X$ and Θ_X denotes the sheaf of holomorphic vector fields on X .

By forgetting the complex structure, a holomorphic vector bundle $A \rightarrow X$ becomes a real (smooth) vector bundle, and a holomorphic vector bundle map $\rho : A \rightarrow TX$ becomes a real (smooth) vector bundle map. Assume that $A \rightarrow X$ is a holomorphic vector bundle whose underlying real vector bundle is endowed with a Lie algebroid structure $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ such that, for any open subset $U \subset X$,

- (a) $[\mathcal{A}(U), \mathcal{A}(U)] \subset \mathcal{A}(U)$
- (b) and the restriction of the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ to $\mathcal{A}(U)$ is $\mathbb{C}$ -linear.

Then the restriction of $[\cdot, \cdot]$ and ρ from $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ to $\mathcal{A}$ makes A into a holomorphic Lie algebroid, where $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ denotes the sheaf of smooth sections of $A \rightarrow X$.

The following proposition states that any holomorphic Lie algebroid can be obtained of such a real Lie algebroid in a unique way.

Proposition 4.1 ([24]). *Given a structure of holomorphic Lie algebroid on the holomorphic vector bundle $A \rightarrow X$ with anchor map $A \xrightarrow{\rho} TX$, there exists a unique structure of real smooth Lie algebroid on the vector bundle $A \rightarrow X$ with respect to the same anchor map ρ such that the inclusion of sheaves $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}_\infty$ is a morphism of sheaves of Lie algebras.*

By A_R , we denote the underlying real Lie algebroid of a holomorphic Lie algebroid A . In the sequel, by saying that a real Lie algebroid is a holomorphic Lie algebroid, we mean that it is a holomorphic vector bundle and its Lie bracket on smooth sections induces a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear bracket on $\mathcal{A}(U)$, for all open subset $U \subset X$.

Assume that $(A \rightarrow X, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a holomorphic Lie algebroid. Consider the bundle map $j : A \rightarrow A$ defining the fiberwise complex structure on A . It is simple to see that the Nijenhuis torsion of j vanishes [24]. Hence one can define a new (real) Lie algebroid structure on A , denoted by $(A \rightarrow X, \rho_j, [\cdot, \cdot]_j)$, where the anchor ρ_j is $\rho \circ j$ and the bracket on $\Gamma(A)$ is given by [22]

$$[V, W]_j = [jV, W] + [V, jW] - j[V, W] = -j[jV, jW], \quad \forall V, W \in \Gamma(A). \quad (22)$$

In the sequel, $(A \rightarrow X, \rho_j, [\cdot, \cdot]_j)$ will be called the *underlying imaginary Lie algebroid* and denoted by A_I . It is known that

$$j : A_I \rightarrow A_R \quad (23)$$

is a Lie algebroid isomorphism [22].

Definition 4.2. A holomorphic Lie bialgebroid is a pair of holomorphic Lie algebroids (A, A^*) over the base M such that for any open subset U of M , the following compatibility holds

$$d_*[X, Y] = [d_*X, Y] + [X, d_*Y]. \quad (24)$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}(U)$.

Given a holomorphic vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$, by $\mathcal{A}^k$ we denote the sheaf of holomorphic sections of $\wedge^k A \rightarrow M$. Then, similar to the smooth case, A is a holomorphic Lie algebroid if and only if there is a sheaf of Gerstenhaber algebras $(\mathcal{A}^\bullet, \wedge, [\cdot, \cdot])$ over M [23].

Proposition 4.3. Let $A \rightarrow M$ be a holomorphic vector bundle. Then (A, A^*) is a holomorphic Lie bialgebroid if and only if there is a sheaf of differential Gerstenhaber algebras $(\mathcal{A}^\bullet, \wedge, [\cdot, \cdot], d_*)$ over M .

Proof. The proof is exactly the same as in the smooth case. See [38, 23, 21]. For the completeness, we sketch a proof below.

We note that, if (A, A^*) is a holomorphic Lie bialgebroid, the holomorphic Lie algebroid structure on A^* induces a complex of sheaves $d_* : \mathcal{A}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{k+1}$ over M . From the compatibility condition (24) and the Leibniz rule, one can prove as in [21], that

$$d_*[X, f] = [d_*X, f] + [X, d_*f]. \quad (25)$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{A}(U)$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}_P(U)$. Therefore by Leibniz rule, one proves that

$$d_*[X, Y] = [d_*X, Y] + [X, d_*Y] \quad (26)$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}^\bullet(U)$. Thus $(\mathcal{A}^\bullet, \wedge, [\cdot, \cdot], d_*)$ is a sheaf of differential Gerstenhaber algebras over M . The converse is obvious. $\square$

Let (A, A^*) be a holomorphic Lie bialgebroid with anchor ρ and ρ_* , respectively. The following proposition shows that the holomorphic bundle map

$$\pi_M^\# = (\rho \circ \rho_*^*) : T^*M \rightarrow TM. \quad (27)$$

is skew-symmetric and defines a holomorphic Poisson structure on M .

Proposition 4.4. Let (A, A^*) be a holomorphic Lie bialgebroid with anchor ρ and ρ_* , respectively. Then

- (a) $L_{df}X = -[d_*f, X]$ for any $f \in \mathcal{O}_P(U)$ and $X \in \mathcal{A}(U)$;
- (b) $[d_*f, d_*g] = d_*\{f, g\}$, $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{O}_P(U)$;
- (c) $\rho \circ \rho_*^* = -\rho_* \circ \rho^*$ and π_M defined by (27) is a holomorphic Poisson structure on M .

Proof. The proof is similar to the proofs of Proposition 3.4, Corollary 3.5 and Proposition 3.6 in [28], and is left to the reader. $\square$

4.2. Associated real Lie bialgebroids. By A_R and A_I we denote the underlying real and imaginary Lie algebroids of A , respectively. We write $A_R^* := (A^*)_R$ and $A_I^* := (A^*)_I$ for the underlying real and imaginary Lie algebroids of the complex dual A^* , respectively.

Lemma 4.5. Let A be a holomorphic Lie algebroid. For any $\alpha \in \Gamma(A^*)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^I \alpha &= -(j^* \circ d^R \circ j^*) \alpha, \\ d^I f &= (j^* \circ d^R) f. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We compute for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (d_I f)(X) &= \rho_I(X)(f) = \rho(jX)(f) = (d_R f)(jX), \\ (d_I(j^* \alpha))(X, Y) &= \rho_I(X)\alpha(jY) - \rho_I(Y)\alpha(jX) - \alpha(j[X, Y]_I) \\ &= \rho(j(X))\alpha(jY) - \rho(j(Y))\alpha(jX) - \alpha([j(X), j(Y)]) \\ &= (d_R \alpha)(j(X), j(Y)). \end{aligned}$$

□

In particular, if the dual A^* of A is also endowed with a Lie algebroid structure. Using the fact that the complex structure in the fibers of A^* is j^* , we have:

$$d_*^I X = -(j \circ d_*^R \circ j)X, \quad (28)$$

$$d_*^I f = (j \circ d_*^R) f \quad (29)$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $X \in \Gamma(A)$.

Proposition 4.6. *Let (A, A^*) be a pair of holomorphic Lie algebroids. The following are equivalent*

- (a) (A, A^*) is a holomorphic Lie bialgebroid;
- (b) (A_R, A_R^*) is a Lie bialgebroid;
- (c) (A_R, A_I^*) is a Lie bialgebroid.

Proof. (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) It is clear that if (A_R, A_R^*) is a real Lie bialgebroid then the compatibility condition for (A, A^*) being a holomorphic Lie bialgebroid is automatically satisfied.

(a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) Assume that $U \subset M$ is any open subset, and $X \in \mathcal{A}(U)$ any holomorphic section. Consider the operator $C^\infty(U, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \Gamma(A_R|_U \otimes \mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_X f = d_*^R[X, f] - [d_*^R X, f] - [X, d_*^R f] \quad (30)$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{C})$. Here $d_*^R : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A_R \otimes \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} A_R \otimes \mathbb{C})$ is the Lie algebroid cohomology differential with the trivial coefficients $\mathbb{C}$ of the Lie algebroid A_R^* , and X is considered as a section of $A_R|_U$. It is simple to check that $\mathcal{L}_X$ is a derivation, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{L}_X(fg) = f\mathcal{L}_X g + g\mathcal{L}_X f.$$

Since (A, A^*) is a holomorphic Lie bialgebroid, it follows that $\mathcal{L}_X f = 0$, $\forall f \in \mathcal{O}_P(U)$ according to (25). Here we use the fact that $d_* f = d_*^R f$ for any $f \in \mathcal{O}_P(U)$. On the other hand, we also have $\mathcal{L}_X \bar{f} = 0$ since each term in (30) vanishes [24].

Hence it follows that $\mathcal{L}_X f = 0$ for all $f \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{C})$. Finally, when U is a contractible open subset of M , $A|_U$ is a trivial bundle, $\Gamma(A|_U \otimes \mathbb{C})$ is spanned by $\mathcal{A}(U)$ over $C^\infty(U, \mathbb{C})$. It thus follows that $d_*[X, Y] = [d_* X, Y] + [X, d_* Y]$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(A|_U \otimes \mathbb{C})$. Hence (A_R, A_R^*) is indeed a real Lie bialgebroid.

(a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c): The equivalence between (a) and (c) can be proved similarly, using the equality $d_*^I f = i \cdot d_* f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{A}(U)$. □

It is well known that Lie bialgebroids are symmetric. Hence (A_R, A_R^*) is a Lie bialgebroid if and only if (A_R^*, A_R) is a Lie bialgebroid. As a consequence, we have

Corollary 4.7. *(A, A^*) is a holomorphic Lie bialgebroid iff (A^*, A) is a holomorphic Lie bialgebroid.*

Proposition 4.8. *Let (A, A^*) be a pair of holomorphic Lie algebroids.*

- (a) (A_R, A_R^*) is a Lie bialgebroid, if and only if, (A_I, A_I^*) is a Lie bialgebroid,
- (b) (A_R, A_I^*) is a Lie bialgebroid, if and only if, (A_I, A_R^*) is a Lie bialgebroid.

Proof. Recall from (22) that the Lie bracket on A_I is given by $[V, W]_j = -j[jV, jW]$ for all $V, W \in \Gamma(A_I)$.

(a) Assume that (A_R, A_R^*) is a Lie bialgebroid. Then we have for all $V, W \in \Gamma(A_I)$:

$$\begin{aligned} d_*^I[V, W]_j &\stackrel{(28)}{=} (j \circ d_*^R \circ j)(-j[jV, jW]) = (j \circ d_*^R)([jV, jW]) \\ &= j([d_*^R(jV), jW] + [jV, d_*^R(jW)]) \\ &\stackrel{(28)}{=} -j([j(d_*^I V), jW] + [jV, j(d_*^I W)]) \\ &= [d_*^I V, W]_j + [V, d_*^I W]_j, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that (A_I, A_I^*) is a Lie bialgebroid. The converse can be shown in the same manner.

(b) Assume that (A_I, A_R^*) is a Lie bialgebroid. Then, for all $V, W \in \Gamma(A_R)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_*^I[V, W] &= -(j \circ d_*^R \circ j)([V, W]) = -(j \circ d_*^R \circ j)(-j[j(V), j(W)]_j) \\ &= -(j \circ d_*^R)([j(V), j(W)]_j) \\ &= -j[d_*^R(jV), jW]_j - j[jV, d_*^R(jW)]_j \\ &= -j[j(d_*^I V), jW]_j - j[jV, j(d_*^I W)]_j \\ &= [d_*^I V, W] + [V, d_*^I W]. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Hence (A_R, A_I^*) is a Lie bialgebroid. The converse can be proved similarly.

Proposition 4.9. *Let (A, A^*) be a pair of holomorphic Lie algebroids. Then (A_R, A_I^*) is a Lie bialgebroid if and only if A_R endowed with the map $\mathcal{J}_{A_R} : \mathbb{P}_{A_R} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{A_R}$,*

$$\mathcal{J}_{A_R} = \begin{pmatrix} J_{A_R} & \pi_{A_I^*}^\# \\ 0 & -J_{A_R}^* \end{pmatrix} \quad (31)$$

is a Glanon Lie algebroid, where $\pi_{A_I^*}$ is the Poisson structure on A_R that is induced by the Lie algebroid structure on A_I^* .

Proof. Assume first that $(A_R, \mathcal{J}_{A_R})$ is a Glanon Lie algebroid. Then the map $\pi_{A_I^*}^\#$ is a morphism of Lie algebroids $T^*A \rightarrow TA$, and it follows that (A_R, A_I^*) is a Lie bialgebroid [29].

Conversely, if (A_R, A_I^*) is a Lie bialgebroid, the map $\pi_{A_I^*}^\#$ is a morphism of Lie algebroids $T^*A \rightarrow TA$. According to Proposition 3.12 in [25] up to a scalar, $\pi_A(\cdot, \cdot) = \pi_{A_I^*}(\cdot, \cdot) + i\pi_{A_I^*}(J_{A_R}^* \cdot, \cdot)$ is the holomorphic Lie Poisson structure on A induced by the holomorphic Lie algebroid A^* . By Theorem 2.7 in [24], $\mathcal{J}_{A_R}$ is hence a generalized complex structure. Since A is a holomorphic Lie algebroid, the map $J_{A_R} : TA_R \rightarrow TA_R$ is a morphism of Lie algebroids according to [25]. $\square$

We summarize our results in the following:

Theorem 4.10. *Let (A, A^*) be a pair of holomorphic Lie algebroids. The following assertions are all equivalent:*

- (a) (A, A^*) is a holomorphic Lie bialgebroid;
- (b) (A_R, A_R^*) is a Lie bialgebroid;
- (c) (A_R, A_I^*) is a Lie bialgebroid;
- (d) (A_I, A_R^*) is a Lie bialgebroid;
- (e) (A_I, A_I^*) is a Lie bialgebroid;

(f) the Lie algebroid A_R endowed with the map $\mathcal{J}_{A_R} : \mathcal{P}_{A_R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{A_R}$,

$$\mathcal{J}_{A_R} = \begin{pmatrix} J_{A_R} & \pi_{A_I}^\# \\ 0 & -J_{A_R}^* \end{pmatrix}$$

is a Glanon Lie algebroid.

Example 4.11. Consider a holomorphic Poisson manifold (X, π) , where $\pi = \pi_R + i\pi_I \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 T^{1,0}X)$ is a holomorphic Poisson tensor. Let $A = TX$ and $A^* = (T^*X)_\pi$, the cotangent Lie algebroid associated to π . Then (A, A^*) is a holomorphic Lie bialgebroid. In this case, $A_R = TX$, $A_I = (TX)_J$, $A_R^* = (TX)_{4\pi_R}^*$ and $A_I^* = (TX)_{4\pi_I}^*$.

4.3. Holomorphic Poisson groupoids.

Definition 4.12. A holomorphic Poisson groupoid is a holomorphic Lie groupoid $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ equipped with a holomorphic Poisson structure $\pi_\Gamma \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 T^{1,0}\Gamma)$ such that the graph of the multiplication $\Lambda \subset \Gamma \times \Gamma \times \Gamma$ is a coisotropic submanifold, where $\bar{\Gamma}$ stands for Γ equipped with the opposite Poisson structure.

Many properties of (smooth) Poisson groupoids have a straightforward generalization in this holomorphic setting. We refer to [35, 28, 37] for details. In particular, if $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ is a holomorphic Poisson groupoid, then M is naturally a holomorphic Poisson manifold. More precisely, there exists a unique holomorphic Poisson structure on M such that the source map $s : \Gamma \rightarrow M$ is a holomorphic Poisson map, while the target map is then an anti-Poisson map.

As a consequence, M naturally inherits a holomorphic Poisson structure.

Theorem 4.13 ([37, 23]). Let $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$ be a holomorphic Lie groupoid, and π_Γ a holomorphic Poisson tensor on Γ . Then (Γ, π_Γ) is a holomorphic Poisson groupoid if and only if $\pi_\Gamma^\# : T^*\Gamma \rightarrow T\Gamma$ is a morphism of holomorphic Lie groupoids over some map $a_* : A^* \rightarrow TM$ (which is then the anchor of the Lie algebroid A^*).

For any open subset $U \subset M$ and $X \in \mathcal{A}^k(U)$, it follows as in [37, Theorem 3.1] that $[X^r, \pi_\Gamma]$ is a right-invariant holomorphic $(k+1)$ -vector field on Γ_U^U . Hence it defines an element, denoted d_*X , in $\mathcal{A}^{k+1}(U)$, i.e.

$$(d_*X)^r = [X^r, \pi_\Gamma].$$

As in [38], one proves that $(\mathcal{A}^\bullet, \wedge, [\cdot, \cdot], d_*)$ is a sheaf of differential Gerstenhaber algebras over M . This proves the following proposition.

Proposition 4.14. A holomorphic Poisson groupoid naturally induces a holomorphic Lie bialgebroid.

Proposition 4.15. Let $(\Gamma \rightrightarrows M, \pi)$ be a holomorphic Poisson groupoid with holomorphic Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*) , where $\pi_\Gamma = \pi_R + i\pi_I \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 T^{1,0}\Gamma)$. Then

- (a) both $(\Gamma \rightrightarrows M, \pi_R)$ and $(\Gamma \rightrightarrows M, \pi_I)$ are Poisson groupoids;
- (b) the Lie bialgebroids of $(\Gamma \rightrightarrows M, \pi_R)$ and $(\Gamma \rightrightarrows M, \pi_I)$ are $(A_R, A_{1/4R}^*)$ and $(A_R, A_{1/4I}^*)^1$, respectively.

Proof. (a) If π is a multiplicative holomorphic Poisson structure on $\Gamma \rightrightarrows M$, then its real and imaginary parts are also multiplicative. It is shown in [24] that both π_R and π_I are Poisson bivector fields.

¹We write $A_{1/4R}^*$ (respectively $A_{1/4I}^*$ for the Lie algebroid $(A_R^*, 1/4[\cdot, \cdot]_{A_R^*}, 1/4\rho_{A_R^*})$ (respectively $(A_I^*, 1/4[\cdot, \cdot]_{A_I^*}, 1/4\rho_{A_I^*})$).

- (b) We have $\text{Im}((T^*\Gamma)_\pi) = (T^*\Gamma)_{4\pi_I}$ and $\text{Re}((T^*\Gamma)_\pi) = (T^*\Gamma)_{4\pi_R}$ [24]. The Lie algebroid structure on $(T^*\Gamma)_\pi$ restricts to the holomorphic Lie algebroid structure on A^* as follows; the map $\rho : A^* \rightarrow TM$ is just the restriction of $\pi^\sharp$ to $A^* = TM^\circ$ seen as a subbundle of $T_M^*\Gamma$, and the bracket on $T^*\Gamma$ restricts to a bracket on A^* . In the same manner, the Lie groupoid $(\Gamma \rightrightarrows M, \pi_R^\sharp)$ induces a Lie algebroid structure on A_R^* that is the restriction of the Lie algebroid structure on $(T^*\Gamma)_{\pi_R}$. Hence, we can conclude easily. $\square$

Proposition 4.16. *Let $(\Gamma \rightrightarrows M, \pi)$ be a holomorphic Poisson groupoid, with the almost complex structure $J_\Gamma : T\Gamma \rightarrow T\Gamma$. Then*

- (a) $\mathcal{J}_\pi : \mathcal{P}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\Gamma$ given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} J_\Gamma & \pi_I^\sharp \\ 0 & -J_\Gamma^* \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a Glanon groupoid structure on Γ .

- (b) The Lie algebroid morphism $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{J}_\pi) : \mathcal{P}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_A$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} J_{A_R} & \pi_{A_{1/4 \cdot I}^*}^\sharp \\ 0 & -J_{A_R}^* \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\pi_{A_{1/4 \cdot I}^*}$ is the linear Poisson structure defined on A_R by the Lie algebroid $A_{1/4 \cdot I}^*$.

Proof. (a) By Theorem 2.7 in [23], $\mathcal{J}_\pi$ is a generalized complex structure. Since $(\Gamma \rightrightarrows M, J_\Gamma)$ is a holomorphic Lie groupoid and $(\Gamma \rightrightarrows M, \pi_I)$ is a Poisson groupoid, the maps $J_\Gamma : T\Gamma \rightarrow T\Gamma$, its dual $J_\Gamma^* : T^*\Gamma \rightarrow T^*\Gamma$ and $\pi_I^\sharp : T^*\Gamma \rightarrow T\Gamma$ are all multiplicative.

- (b) It is shown in [25] that $\sigma_\Gamma \circ A(J_\Gamma) \circ \sigma_\Gamma^{-1} = J_{A_R}$, and in [29] that $\varsigma_\Gamma \circ A(\pi_I^\sharp) \circ \varsigma_\Gamma^{-1} = \pi_{A_{1/4 \cdot I}^*}^\sharp$, since $(A_R, A_{1/4 \cdot I}^*)$ is the Lie bialgebroid of $(\Gamma \rightrightarrows M, \pi_I)$. $\square$

A holomorphic Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*) is said to be *integrable* if it is isomorphic to the induced holomorphic Lie bialgebroid of a holomorphic Poisson groupoid.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.11 and Proposition 4.16, we obtain the following main result of this section.

Theorem 4.17. *Given a holomorphic Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*) , if the underlying real Lie algebroid A_R integrates to a $\mathfrak{s}$ -connected and $\mathfrak{s}$ -simply connected Lie groupoid Γ , then Γ is a holomorphic Poisson groupoid.*

Remark 4.18. *This is proved in [25] in the special case where (A, A^*) is the holomorphic Lie bialgebroid $((T^*M)_\pi, TM)$ induced from a holomorphic Poisson manifold (M, π) .*

REFERENCES

1. A. Alekseev and P. Xu, *Courant algebroids and derived brackets*, unpublished manuscript (2001).
2. J. Barton and M. Sti  non, *Generalized complex submanifolds.*, Pac. J. Math. **236** (2008), no. 1, 23–44 (English).
3. M. Boumaiza and N. Za  lani, *Rel  vement d’une alg  bro  de de Courant*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **347** (2009), no. 3-4, 177–182.
4. M. N. Boyom, *KV-cohomology of Koszul-Vinberg algebroids and Poisson manifolds*, Internat. J. Math. **16** (2005), no. 9, 1033–1061.
5. H. Bursztyn, A. Cabrera, and M. del Hoyo, *On integration of VB-algebroids*, in preparation.

6. H. Bursztyn, D. Iglesias Ponte, and P. Ševera, *Courant morphisms and moment maps*, Math. Res. Lett. **16** (2009), no. 2, 215–232. MR 2496740 (2010f:53152)
7. A. S. Cattaneo and G. Felder, *Poisson sigma models and symplectic groupoids*, Quantization of singular symplectic quotients, Progr. Math., vol. 198, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001, pp. 61–93.
8. A. Coste, P. Dazord, and A. Weinstein, *Groupoïdes symplectiques*, Publications du Département de Mathématiques. Nouvelle Série. A, Vol. 2, Publ. Dép. Math. Nouvelle Sér. A, vol. 87, Univ. Claude-Bernard, Lyon, 1987, pp. i–ii, 1–62.
9. T. J. Courant, *Dirac manifolds.*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **319** (1990), no. 2, 631–661.
10. M. Crainic, *Generalized complex structures and Lie brackets*, arXiv:math/0412097 (2004).
11. M. Crainic and R. L. Fernandes, *Integrability of Poisson brackets*, J. Differential Geom. **66** (2004), no. 1, 71–137.
12. T. Drummond, M. Jotz, and C. Ortiz, *Infinitesimal objects associated to Dirac groupoids*, In preparation (2012).
13. S. Evens, J.-H. Lu, and A. Weinstein, *Transverse measures, the modular class and a cohomology pairing for Lie algebroids*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **50** (1999), no. 200, 417–436.
14. A. Gracia-Saz and R. A. Mehta, *Lie algebroid structures on double vector bundles and representation theory of Lie algebroids*, Adv. Math. **223** (2010), no. 4, 1236–1275.
15. M. Gualtieri, *Generalized complex geometry*, Ph.D. thesis, 2003.
16. N. Hitchin, *Generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Q. J. Math. **54** (2003), no. 3, 281–308.
17. J. Huebschmann, *Duality for Lie-Rinehart algebras and the modular class*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **510** (1999), 103–159.
18. M. Jotz, *Infinitesimal objects associated to Dirac groupoids and their homogeneous spaces.*, Preprint, arXiv:1009.0713 (2010).
19. ———, *Dorfman connections and courant algebroids*, In preparation (2012).
20. M. V. Karasëv, *The Maslov quantization conditions in higher cohomology and analogs of notions developed in Lie theory for canonical fibre bundles of symplectic manifolds. I, II*, Selecta Math. Soviet. **8** (1989), no. 3, 213–234, 235–258, Translated from the Russian by Pavel Buzysky, Selected translations.
21. Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, *Exact Gerstenhaber algebras and Lie bialgebroids*, Acta Appl. Math. **41** (1995), no. 1-3, 153–165, Geometric and algebraic structures in differential equations.
22. ———, *The Lie bialgebroid of a Poisson-Nijenhuis manifold*, Lett. Math. Phys. **38** (1996), no. 4, 421–428.
23. C. Laurent-Gengoux, M. Stiénon, and P. Xu, *Lectures on poisson groupoids*, arXiv:0707.2405 (2007).
24. ———, *Holomorphic Poisson manifolds and holomorphic Lie algebroids*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2008), Art. ID rnn 088, 46.
25. ———, *Integration of holomorphic Lie algebroids*, Math. Ann. **345** (2009), no. 4, 895–923.
26. K.C.H. Mackenzie, *Double Lie algebroids and second-order geometry. I*, Adv. Math. **94** (1992), no. 2, 180–239.
27. ———, *General Theory of Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 213, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
28. K.C.H. Mackenzie and P. Xu, *Lie bialgebroids and Poisson groupoids.*, Duke Math. J. **73** (1994), no. 2, 415–452.
29. ———, *Integration of Lie bialgebroids.*, Topology **39** (2000), no. 3, 445–467.
30. R. A. Mehta, *Q-groupoids and their cohomology*, Pacific J. Math. **242** (2009), no. 2, 311–332.
31. C. Ortiz, *Multiplicative Dirac Structures*, Ph.D. thesis, Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, 2009.
32. J. Pradines, *Remarque sur le groupoïde cotangent de Weinstein-Dazord*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **306** (1988), no. 13, 557–560.
33. M. Stiénon and P. Xu, *Reduction of generalized complex structures*, J. Geom. Phys. **58** (2008), no. 1, 105–121.
34. A. Weinstein, *Symplectic groupoids and Poisson manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **16** (1987), no. 1, 101–104.
35. ———, *Coisotropic calculus and Poisson groupoids.*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **40** (1988), no. 4, 705–727.
36. ———, *The integration problem for complex Lie algebroids*, From geometry to quantum mechanics, Progr. Math., vol. 252, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2007, pp. 93–109.
37. P. Xu, *On Poisson groupoids*, Internat. J. Math. **6** (1995), no. 1, 101–124.
38. ———, *Gerstenhaber algebras and BV-algebras in Poisson geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys. **200** (1999), no. 3, 545–560.
39. K. Yano and S. Ishihara, *Tangent and Cotangent Bundles: Differential Geometry*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1973, Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 16.
40. S. Zakrzewski, *Quantum and classical pseudogroups. I. Union pseudogroups and their quantization*, Comm. Math. Phys. **134** (1990), no. 2, 347–370.

41. ———, *Quantum and classical pseudogroups. II. Differential and symplectic pseudogroups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **134** (1990), no. 2, 371–395.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, GEORG-AUGUST UNIVERSITÄT GÖTTINGEN

E-mail address: `mjotz@uni-math.gwdg.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENN STATE UNIVERSITY

E-mail address: `stienon@math.psu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENN STATE UNIVERSITY

E-mail address: `ping@math.psu.edu`